



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,853	10/05/2000	Mark Alexander Hill	210_211	9174
	7590 04/30/2003			
Christopher R. Pastel WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 South Salina Street, Suite 400			EXAMINER	
			BAHTA, KIDEST	
Syracuse, NY 13202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	ſ
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	٧

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•	_			M
,		Application No.	Applicant	(s)
1 W		09/679,853	HILL ET A	L.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kidest Bahta	2125	
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et with the corresponde	ence address
THE MAILING DATE C - Extensions of time may be av after SIX (6) MONTHS from ti - If the period for reply specifier - If NO period for reply is specifier - Failure to reply within the set	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DF THIS COMMUNICATION. ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 he mailing date of this communication. It above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply read above, the maximum statutory period vor extended period for reply will, by statute, ce later than three months after the mailing at. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be conside MONTHS from the mailing date me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).
1) Responsive to a	communication(s) filed on 11 A	March 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is F	I NAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
	cation is in condition for allowa dance with the practice under			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-1</u>	4,17 and 18 is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above	claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration		
5)	s/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-1</u>	4, 17-18 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)i	s/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) a	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	i.	
9)☐ The specification	is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) fil	ed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may no	ot request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	l.85(a).
	wing correction filed on	•	disapproved by the	Examiner.
	ected drawings are required in rep			
	ration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgmen	t is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Som	e * c)☐ None of:			
1. Certified c	opies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified c	opies of the priority documents	s have been received	in Application No	·
applica	the certified copies of the prior ation from the International Bu detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ational Stage
	s made of a claim for domesti	•		visional application).
_a)	on of the foreign language pro	visional application h	as been received.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Attachment(s)		, ,	50	
	I (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) F ce of Informal Patent Applica r:	

Application/Control Number: 09/673,853

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over sandelman et al. (U. S. Patent 6,211,782) in view of Levi et al. (U. S. Patent 6,477,667).

Regarding claims 1-3, 614 and 17-18, sandelman discloses querying means in the server for querying the at least one HVAC device for status information for storage in the server, when requested by a message from the entry device (column 6, lines 1-15); means for sending the status information to the entry device in response to the querying means (Fig. 8); means residing in the server for checking for messages from the at least one HVAC device (column 5, lines 37-61); means residing in the server for sending an alarm message to the entry device when an alarm message is received from the at least one HVAC device (column 2, lines 15-30); means for automatically sending alarm messages from the at least one HVAC device through the server to the entry device (column 3, 1-9); a database in the server relating to a status of the at least one HVAC device (column 6, lines 1-18); means for automatically updating the database on a regular basis in the absence of the message form the entry device (column 6, lines 20-37); and means for changing settings on the at least one HVAC device from the entry device (column 7, lines 39-65); the entry device is one of a handheld computer, a cell



Art Unit: 2125

phone, and a personal data assistant (column 6, lines 41-45); the communications access includes connections over the Internet (Fig. 1, element 122); the communications access includes connections over both the Internet and the GSM network (column 8, lines 17-39).

However, Sandelman fails to disclose a server with bi-communication access to an entry device and the at least one HVAC device and containing stored information relating to the status of at least one HVAC device and further containing stored information that includes a password and a user name associated with the at least one HVAC device which must be properly identified before stored status information can be accessed by the entry device and when the message from the entry device includes a recognized password and user name associated with the at least one HVAC device; in a first database stored the password and in a second database stored user's name.

Levi discloses a server (30) with bi-communication access to an entry device and the at least one HVAC device (column 1, lines 17-28; column 2, line 62 –column 3, line 4) and containing stored information relating to the status of at least one HVAC device (column 3, lines 4-17) and further containing stored information that includes a password and a user name associated with the at least one HVAC device (column 4, line 67 – column 5, line 67; Fig. 2) which must be properly identified before stored status information can be accessed by the entry device (column 11, lines 10-35); and when the message from the entry device includes a recognized password and user name associated with the at least one HVAC device (Figs. 4-6 and Fig. 8); a first database stored the password and in a second database stored user's name (Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the teachings of Sandelman with the teaching of Levi in order to provide a system and method for remotely monitoring electrical and mechanical equipment that can deliver fault notification messages to different individuals for different fault conditions via different electronic media.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-14 and 17-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kidest Bahta, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6103. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached (703) 308-0538. Additionally, the fax phone for Art Unit 2125 is (703) 308-6306 or 308-6296. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Kidest Bahta April 23,2003 J. P. Pmil

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100